

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	). F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/750,831		12/28/2000	Ernest H. Roberts	RTS 2 0017	8674		
23717	7590	06/27/2003					
		BRIAN S STEIN	EXAMINER				
101 BREV COCOA, I	ARD AVENUE L 32922			VARNER,	VARNER, STEVE M		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				3635			
			DATE MAIL ED: 06/27/2003				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$\mathbf{Q}$	1
	Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)	<b>y</b> -
	09/750,	831	ROBERTS, ERNEST H.	
Office Action Summa	<b>Y</b> Examin	er	Art Unit	
	Steve M		3635	
The MAILING DATE of this com Period for Reply	nmunication appears on t	he cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMI  - Extensions of time may be available under the proafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of thi  - If the period for reply specified above is less than in the set of	MUNICATION. visions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no a communication. thirty (30) days, a reply within the si mum statutory period will apply and or reply will, by statute, cause the a onths after the mailing date of this	event, however, may a reply be time tatutory minimum of thirty (30) days will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from pplication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication	(s) filed on <u>28 Decembe</u>	<u>r 2000</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This action	is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in conclosed in accordance with the				
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending ir	the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8,12-</u>	20 is/are withdrawn from	consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7, 9-11, 21, 25</u> is/ard	e rejected.			
7) Claim(s) 22-24 is/are objected	to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to r	estriction and/or election	requirement.		
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to	by the Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is	/are: a)□ accepted or b)[	objected to by the Exar	miner.	
Applicant may not request that a	ny objection to the drawing(	s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a):	
11)☐ The proposed drawing correctio	n filed on is: a)□	approved b)☐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings a	• •	Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is object	ted to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 12	) ·			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a	• • •	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None				
1. Certified copies of the pr	•			
2. Certified copies of the pr		• •		
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified control application from the It is seen the attached detailed Office</li> </ul>	nternational Bureau (PC	T Rule 17.2(a)).	-	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a cl	aim for domestic priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).	
a) ⊡ The translation of the foreig 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a cl		• •		
Attachment(s)	, ,			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14)			(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/750,831

Art Unit: 3635

. . - •

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1, 6, 7, 9-11, 21, 25, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Achen.

Regarding claim 1, Achen shows a sheet (30) having a sized portion, a utility wall plate (22) abutting a wall and covering a passageway through the wall, wherein the sized portion of the sheet (30) is adjacent to the utility wall plate (22), and an arthropod deterring component (24) is associated with the sheet.

Regarding claim 6, Achen shows an arthropod-deterring component (24) molded directly therein.

Regarding claim 7, Achen shows the arthropod-deterring component (24) applied therein.

Regarding claim 9, Achen shows the sheet (30) configured to circumscribe a passageway defined by an opening through the utility wall plate (22). (Fig. 3)

Regarding claim 10, Achen shows the sheet (30) configured to circumscribe a passageway defined by a space between the utility wall plate (22) and the wall to which it abuts. (Fig. 3)

Application/Control Number: 09/750,831 Page 3

Art Unit: 3635

Regarding claim 11, Achen shows the opposing ends of the sheet (30) are substantially equal in length. (Fig. 3)

Regarding claim 21, Achen shows a sheet having a sized portion (30). Achen shows a wall (10) having a passageway through the wall; the sized portion of the sheet (30) being placed across a portion of the passageway. Achen shows an arthropod deterring component (24) associated with the sheet (30). (Fig. 3)

Regarding claim 25, Achen shows a utility plate (22), the sheet (30) placed adjacent to one side of the utility plate (22). (Fig. 3)

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Achen.

Achen shows the basic claimed structure. Achen does not show the sheet molded, extruded, pliable, or stiff. It would have been an obvious design choice to make the sheet molded, extruded, pliable, or stiff since molding and extrusion are normal ways in which pliable and stiff sheets may be made.

#### Claim Objections

Art Unit: 3635

Claims 22-24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 22 is allowable for the pipe.

Claim 23-24 depend from claim 21 and are therefore allowable.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7, 9-11, 21-25, have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant argues that "arthropod deterring component" is clear.

Examiner agrees.

Applicant argues that Sharples is not available as prior art.

Examiner agrees.

Applicant argues that Manak is not relevant art.

Examiner has found more relevant art.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Sharples presents a retractable electric wall outlet assembly.

Manak shows a plastic tree band. Carman reveals a tree trunk barrier for pest control.

Ritter shows a rodent exclusion device.

## Conclusion

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve M Varner whose telephone number is 703 308-1894. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl D Friedman can be reached on 703 308-08390839. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 305-7687 for regular communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-

1113.

SV

June 23, 2003

Carl D. Friedman

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3600